

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/709,596	05/17/2004	David B. Riggs	FIS920010074	3595	
29371 7590 11/28/2006  CANTOR COLBURN LLP - IBM FISHKILL 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH			EXAMINER		
			MARKOFF, ALEXANDER		
BLOOMFIELD	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1746		
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/709,596	RIGGS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexander Markoff	1746	

	Alexander Markoff	1746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	 ress
THE REPLY FILED 07 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		•	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the following the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab affidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened starbove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(and the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expenses a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
<ul> <li>3.</li></ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	TE below);	
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>			the issues for
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ejected ciaims.	-
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:  See Continuation Sheet.	ered but does NOT place the appli	cation in condition for	allowance
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		12.00
13.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Allaca	
ALEXANDER	MARKOF	Alexander Markoff	
ALEXANDER PRIMARY E	XANHIVEN	Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 1746

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicants proposed to amend the claims to introduce limitations, which were not previously presented and to delete some of the previously presented limitations. The proposed amendment raises issues, which would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicants rely on the proposed amendment, which would not be entered. .